



Award 2021

5th World Summit on Virology, Vaccines & Emerging Diseases May 24-25, 2021 | Webinar, Award Content on Virology, Vaccines & Emerging Diseases

Lizzie Madron

The awards are given to the foremost outstanding researchers of the conference under below categories

The Overall Best Presentation Award:

This award is going to be provided for the foremost [outstanding presentation](#) of the whole conference.

The Best Student Presentation Award:

The Best Student Presentation Award is going to be given to the foremost outstanding presentation presented by a participant who has [registered](#) under the scholar category. Undergraduates, Master students, and Ph.D. students are going to be considered under this category.

Session's Best Presentation Awards:

There will be variety of technical sessions within the conference under different themes. These awards are provided to the presentations that are selected to be the simplest within the particular session.

The Best Poster Presentation Award:

There will be a billboard session at the conference and this award is devoted to the poster presenters within the conference. The simplest poster presentation is going to be selected among all the researchers within the session.

Criteria for the Session's Best Presentation Award:

Each and every presentation is evaluated by two [evaluators](#) and therefore the average mark of both evaluators is taken because the final mark. The simplest presentation from each session is going to be selected supported the ultimate mark received from the evaluators and therefore the final judgment is given by the Conference Chair. Below criteria are taken into consideration for this award and marks are given out of 100.

- Value of the Content – 40%
- Clarity of Presentation – 20%
- Appropriate Audio Visual Aids- 20%
- Ability to attach with the Audience 10%
- Proper Timing – 20%

Criteria for the simplest Poster Presentation Award:

Every [poster presentation](#) is evaluated by a special evaluator supported below criteria and therefore the presentation with the very best mark is chosen because the Best Poster Presentation Award. The ultimate mark is given out of 100.

- Depth of Content (40%)
- Introduction and Abstract (15%)
- Content knowledge and organization (20%)
- Poster Design and Overall Visual Appeal (10%)
- Verbal Interaction (15%)

Criteria for the general Best Presentation Award and [Best Student Presentation Award](#): Presentations of every technical session with the very best marks shall be recommended for these two awards. They're evaluated by a special committee headed by the conference chair consistent with the below criteria.

Evaluation Criteria:

- Total Marks gained within the presentation
- Significance of the paper to the sector
- Theoretical contribution
- The ability of practical implementation (Will change consistent with the conference)
- Use of appropriate methodological rigor

Best Poster Research Presentation Awards Specifications:

Category:

- General (up to three awards each conference)
- New Investigator (up to three awards each conference)
- Student (up to three awards each conference)

Description:

The [Best Poster Research](#) Presentation Award was established in 1998 to acknowledge the scientific merit exhibited on the poster presentation.

Criteria:

- Background provides appropriate perspective/context for the topic
- Objectives/research questions are clearly stated
- Research design/methods/modeling is acceptable and transparent {scores on this may determine winners just in case of ties}
- Data sources and/or sampling procedures are clear and appropriate
- Research objectives are met/addressed
- Factual information is kept break away interpretations or implications
- Abstract is presented in an [unbiased manner](#)

Clarity of presentation

Selection Process:

The top 10% of scores given by the Contributed Research Review Committee at the time of submission are considered for the award.

Selection of Judges:

- 3 weeks before conference, select [permeating](#) registrants are invited to participate to gauge podium presentations
- Judges are asked to pick their field of interest

Judging Process:

- Judges are assigned presentations consistent with their field of interest
- Each judge evaluates their assigned posters
- All posters are judged equally no matter categories. Judges don't know whether the presentation is Student, New Investigator or General

Judge's scores are compiled, per category, and tallied to urge the general score. Committee Chair(s) determine the recipients of the Awards (up to 3 in each category)

Nature of Award:

A certificate with an inscription stating the award name, name of the presenting author, and title of the presentation shall be sent to the award recipient after the conference when the conditions of acceptance are met.

Conditions of Acceptance:

To receive the award, the awardee must submit the poster presentation that the award is given for publication at the web site, alongside author permission. Failure to submit the poster PDF and permission within the designated timeframe will end in forfeiture of award.

Award Announcements:

Official announcement of the recipients will occur after the conference via Value & Outcomes [Spotlight](#), bulletin, the web site, and via email to conference attendees.

Awards Ceremony:

All the winners are going to be presented with their awards during the [awarding ceremony](#) which can be persisted the Judgment Day of the conference alongside the conference conclusion.